1

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

		January 23, 2007			gK		
TO:		Internal File					
THRU	J:	D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor	1 1	- 7			
FROM:		D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist					
RE:		2006 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, PacifiCorp, C/015/0018, Task ID #2711			ne,		
	of the	The Deer Creek Mine monitoring plan is described MRP.	in App	endix A	of Volume 9		
1.	Were	data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?	?				
	Spring	gs	YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌		
	Stream	ms	YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌		
	Wells		YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌		
	UPDE	SS	YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌		
	In-mi	ne	YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌		
2.	Were	all required parameters reported for each site?					
	Spring	gs	YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌		
	Stream	ms	YES	\boxtimes	NO 🗌		
	Wells		YES	\boxtimes	NO □		

	UPDES	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
	In-mine	YES 🛚	NO 🗌
3.	Were any irregularities found in the data?		
	Listed parameters were outside two standard devia this is not a parameter specifically required by the MRP.	itions. An aste	risk (*) indicates
	Springs	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
	Elk Spring July: water temperature; 79-10 July: Ca and cation-anion balance; 79-26 July: water temperature; 79-28 July: Mg; 79-29 July: water temperature and Ca; 79-34 July: water temperature; 80-46 July: water temperature; 80-47 July: Mg; 80-50 July: Mg; 80-50 July: water temperature and Ca; 82-51 July: water temperature and Ca; 82-52 July: total Fe; 84-56 July: Ca; 89-60 July: flow; 89-66 July: water temperature; EM-216 July: water temperature; JV-34 July: flow; MF 213 July: flow; MF 210 July: flow; RR 5 July: flow, Ca, and total hardness; RR 23A July: Cl; UJV 206 July: water temperature, flow, and TDS; Little Bear Spring July: water temperature, Mg, an	d total hardnes	SS.
	Streams	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
	HCC01 Sept: TSS and total Fe;		

Janu	ary 23, 2007		
	HCC02 Sept: TSS and total Fe; HCC04 Sept: TSS and total Fe; RCF3 Sept: field conductivity and lab c RCW4 Sept: field conductivity, lab con and total cations*; MFB Sept: field conductivity, lab	ductivity*, Ca, SO4, tota	
	Wells	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
	CCCW-1A <u>July</u> and <u>August</u> : level CCCW-3SU <u>July</u> : level DCWR1 <u>Sept</u> : total Fe		
	UPDES	YES 🖂	NO 🗌
	23604-001 <u>Sept</u> : pH.		
	In-mine	YES	NO 🖂
4.	On what date does the MRP require a five-y	ear resampling of basel	ine water data.
	Renewal submittal due 10/07/05, renew performed in 2001 and will be repeated every 5 be in 2006.		
	Baseline parameters were measured at s Most springs are monitored only in the 3 rd and was checked in detail to determine if analyses but spot checks indicate that baseline parameter also. Baseline parameters are not determined for	4 th Qtrs. For the 3 rd Qtr, nad been done for all basers have been determined	not every site eline parameters,
5.	Based on your review, what further actions,	if any, do you recomme	nd?
	None.		
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit mor monitoring requirements?	e information to fulfill t YES [his quarter's NO 🔀

7. Follow-up from last quarter, if necessar	7.	Follow-up	from	last	quarter.	if no	ecessar
---	----	-----------	------	------	----------	-------	---------

None.

8. Did the Mine Operator submit all the missing and/or irregular data (datum)?

NA

O:\015018.DER\Water Quality\jdsWG2711.doc